

If you cast charm person and the target fails its Will save, it becomes your friend and must "perceive your words and actions in the most favorable way." But according to the NPC Attitude Table (Players Handbook page 72), a friendly character is willing to "chat, advise, offer limited help, and advocate." Fighting on your behalf is outside the realm of friendly -- only a helpful NPC (one step above friendly on the table) can be counted on for that kind of aid.

So how can you make a character helpful once your charm person has rendered him friendly? You could use Diplomacy, which normally requires a full minute, though you can speed

it up to a full-round action by taking a -10 penalty on the check. Having a helpful creature on hand can be quite advantageous. It might actually fight to protect you of its own volition, without any orders from you

at all. Since it's acting on its own, however, it may not use the kind of tactics you would like.

In many cases, the fighter becomes

turns around, focusing his bloodthirsty

monster, suggestion, confusion, and

dominate person can turn the tide of a

battle in an instant, and the party must

its own. The tips in this article address what you might do in these situations.

then use its resources to eliminate one of

incapacitated for some indeterminate time.

But in the worst cases, the mighty warrior

gaze on his fellow party members. Charm

#### Solution 2: A Reasonable Request

A charm person spell also lets the caster attempt an opposed Charisma check to convince the target creature to take some action that it ordinarily would not. But the spell description doesn't specify how long this process takes or what exactly it involves. Does the caster convince the target by talking (a free action), redirecting a spell effect (a move action), or taking some not-otherwise-specified action (a standard action)? In the absence of specific rules, it's up to you as DM to adjudicate such situations on a case-by-case basis. If you think talking would suffice in a particular instance, fine. If you feel that the creature takes more convincing, you might want to drop some hints to the player about what kinds of tactics might be effective.

Before you can determine what the target would not ordinarily do, though, you need to decide what it would do. Should its alignment play a role in such a decision, especially when the favor requested involves breaking some rule or law? How about the creature's Intelligence score, or knowledge the subject might have about the caster? Does a *charm person* spell make the target's mind all fuzzy? That is, if the infamous Tesfaye the Dark -- who has a price on her head big enough to buy a kingdom -- casts *charm person* on a guard, does he then treat her just the same as he would a no-name character? Does he care about -- or even remember -- Tesfaye's reputation and the value of the reward on her head while the *charm person* is in effect?

The spell description doesn't specify that the subject forgets what it knows, so he probably does remember who she is and what she's worth. And if he has any integrity at all, he probably wouldn't turn in his friend for money, any more than her boon companions would. However, if the guard is the sort who would turn in his own mother for a gold piece, then Tesfaye may still have cause to worry.

More importantly, can the caster make a request seem more reasonable than it really is? The *charm person* spell description appears to give conflicting information about what the subject can be made to do. At one point, it says that the subject will not obey suicidal orders. Shortly thereafter, it says that you could conceivably convince a *charmed* fighter to "hold off an onrushing red dragon for just a few seconds." Not only is such a request suicidal in all likelihood, but it also requires that the subject be willing to take risks on your behalf -- a favor above and beyond what a friendly character would do, as noted above. Perhaps, however, you could use Bluff to convince your friendly subject of the importance (or at least the reasonableness) of your request.

## Solution 3: Taking It up a Notch

Even the more powerful *dominate person* spell is not without ambiguity. The spell description states that a creature "forced to take actions against its nature" gets a new save at a +2 bonus, but it doesn't specify how often. Does it get one every round if the order would take more than 1 round to carry out? Hey, if it's against a character's nature to attack his friend on Round One, it's still against his nature on Round Two, right? Or if he fails the first save for a given order, does he keep following that instruction, and get another new save only when he has to switch to a different action that's against his nature? Though the spell description doesn't say, it's reasonable to assume that the new save applies only when the order to act against the creature's nature is first given. However, if you encounter a situation in which you as DM feel that more frequent saves would be appropriate, feel free to allow them, up to once per round if need

But does making this new saving throw break the spell entirely, or simply allow the subject to resist performing the specified action? Though it's not spelled out precisely, the spell description does give the answer to this one. The saving throw entry says, "Will negates," so any saving throw the spell allows breaks the effect completely. Thus, though *dominate person* is a powerful spell, the caster must be judicious about what orders she gives, or the additional saving throws can easily lead to wasted spells.

Though a caster can force the subject to take actions against its nature with a *dominate person* spell, "obviously self-destructive orders are not carried out." Therefore, you still couldn't order your newfound friend to hold back that onrushing red dragon unless he has some legitimate reason to believe the dragon wouldn't wipe the floor with his entrails.

## Summary

Mind control spells are classic elements of fantasy, so they shouldn't be eliminated from the game, despite the difficulties of adjudicating their effects. You don't want to make them so weak that they're useless or so strong that they're overpowering, but striking a happy medium that is fair to the players at the table can be challenging.

In the next installment, we'll take a look at another aspect of adjudicating mind control spells.

# **About the Author**

Jason Nelson-Brown lives in Seattle with his wife Kelle, daughters Meshia and Indigo, son Allen, and dog Bear. He is an active and committed born-again Christian who began playing **D&D** in 1981 and currently runs one weekly campaign while playing intermittently in two others.





©1995-2010 Wizards of the Coast, Inc., a subsidiary of Hasbro, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Terms of Use - Privacy Statement

